

9.14 $SU(n), U(n)$ EQUIVALENCE IN ADJOINT REP

The following simple observation speeds up evaluation of pure adjoint rep group-theoretic weights ($3n-j$'s for $SU(n)$): The adjoint rep weights for $U(n)$ and $SU(n)$ are identical. This means that we can use the $U(n)$ adjoint projection operator

$$U(n) : \quad \text{---} \curvearrowright \text{---} \curvearrowleft \text{---} = \text{---} \curvearrowright \text{---} \curvearrowleft \text{---} \quad (9.118)$$

instead of the traceless $SU(n)$ projection operator (9.54), and halve the number of terms in the expansion of each adjoint line.

Proof: Any internal adjoint line connects two C_{ijk} 's:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{---} \bullet \text{---} \bullet \text{---} &= \text{---} \circlearrowleft \text{---} \circlearrowright \text{---} - \text{---} \circlearrowright \text{---} \circlearrowleft \text{---} \\ &= - \text{---} \circlearrowleft \text{---} \circlearrowright \text{---} + \text{---} \circlearrowright \text{---} \circlearrowleft \text{---} . \end{aligned}$$

The trace part of (9.54) cancels on each line; hence, it does not contribute to the pure adjoint rep diagrams. As an example, we reevaluate the adjoint quadratic casimir for $SU(n)$:

$$C_A N = \text{---} \circlearrowleft \text{---} = 2 \text{---} \circlearrowleft \text{---} = 2 \left\{ \text{---} \circlearrowleft \text{---} - 2 \text{---} \circlearrowright \text{---} \right\} .$$

Now substitute the $U(n)$ adjoint projection operator (9.118):

$$C_A N = 2 \left\{ \text{---} \circlearrowleft \text{---} - 2 \text{---} \circlearrowright \text{---} \right\} = 2n(n^2 - 1) ,$$

in agreement with the first exercise of section 2.2.