

2.2 FIRST EXAMPLE: $SU(n)$

How do we describe the invariance group that preserves the norm of a complex vector? The *list of primitives* consists of a single primitive invariant,

$$m(p, q) = \delta_b^a p^b q_a = \sum_{a=1}^n (p_a)^* q_a.$$

The Kronecker δ_b^a is the only primitive invariant tensor. We can immediately write down the two *invariant matrices* on the tensor product of the defining space and its conjugate,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{identity : } \mathbf{1}_{d,b}^{a,c} = \delta_b^a \delta_d^c &= \begin{array}{c} d \longleftarrow c \\ a \longrightarrow b \end{array} \\ \text{trace : } T_{d,b}^{a,c} = \delta_d^a \delta_b^c &= \begin{array}{c} d \curvearrowright \quad \curvearrowleft c \\ a \quad \curvearrowleft \quad \curvearrowright b \end{array}. \end{aligned}$$

The *characteristic equation* for T written out in the matrix, tensor, and birdtrack notations is

$$\begin{aligned} T^2 &= nT \\ T_{d,e}^{a,f} T_{f,b}^{e,c} &= \delta_d^a \delta_e^f \delta_f^e \delta_b^c = n T_{d,b}^{a,c} \\ &= \curvearrowright \curvearrowleft \curvearrowright \curvearrowleft = n \curvearrowright \curvearrowleft. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used $\delta_e^e = n$, the dimension of the defining vector space. The roots are $\lambda_1 = 0$, $\lambda_2 = n$, and the corresponding *projection operators* are

$$\begin{aligned} SU(n) \text{ adjoint rep: } \mathbf{P}_1 &= \frac{T-n\mathbf{1}}{0-n} = \mathbf{1} - \frac{1}{n}T \\ \curvearrowright \curvearrowleft &= \begin{array}{c} \longleftarrow \\ \longrightarrow \end{array} - \frac{1}{n} \curvearrowright \curvearrowleft \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

$$U(n) \text{ singlet: } \mathbf{P}_2 = \frac{T-0\cdot\mathbf{1}}{n-0} = \frac{1}{n}T = \frac{1}{n} \curvearrowright \curvearrowleft.$$

Now we can evaluate any number associated with the $SU(n)$ adjoint rep, such as its dimension and various casimirs.

The *dimensions* of the two reps are computed by tracing the corresponding projection operators (see section 3.5):

$$\begin{aligned} SU(n) \text{ adjoint: } d_1 = \text{tr } \mathbf{P}_1 &= \text{tr} \left(\begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \curvearrowleft \end{array} \right) = \text{tr} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \right) - \frac{1}{n} \text{tr} \left(\begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \curvearrowleft \end{array} \right) = \delta_b^b \delta_a^a - \frac{1}{n} \delta_a^b \delta_b^a \\ &= n^2 - 1 \\ \text{singlet: } d_2 = \text{tr } \mathbf{P}_2 &= \frac{1}{n} \text{tr} \left(\begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \curvearrowleft \end{array} \right) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

To evaluate *casimirs*, we need to fix the overall normalization of the generators T_i of $SU(n)$. Our convention is to take

$$\delta_{ij} = \text{tr } T_i T_j = \text{---} \circlearrowleft \text{---} .$$

The value of the quadratic casimir for the defining rep is computed by substituting the adjoint projection operator:

$$\begin{aligned} SU(n) : C_F \delta_a^b &= (T_i T_i)_a^b = \text{---} \overset{a}{\curvearrowright} \text{---} \overset{b}{\curvearrowleft} \text{---} = \text{---} \overset{a}{\curvearrowright} \overset{b}{\curvearrowleft} \text{---} - \frac{1}{n} \text{---} \overset{a}{\curvearrowright} \text{---} \overset{b}{\curvearrowleft} \text{---} \\ &= \frac{n^2 - 1}{n} \text{---} \overset{a}{\curvearrowright} \text{---} \overset{b}{\curvearrowleft} \text{---} = \frac{n^2 - 1}{n} \delta_a^b . \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

In order to evaluate the quadratic casimir for the adjoint rep, we need to replace the structure constants iC_{ijk} by their *Lie algebra* definition (see section 4.5)

$$T_i T_j - T_j T_i = iC_{ijk} T_k$$

Tracing with T_k , we can express C_{ijk} in terms of the defining rep traces:

$$iC_{ijk} = \text{tr}(T_i T_j T_k) - \text{tr}(T_j T_i T_k)$$

The adjoint quadratic casimir $C_{imn} C^{mmj}$ is now evaluated by first eliminating C_{ijk} 's in favor of the defining rep:

$$\delta_{ij} C_A = \text{---} \overset{n}{\curvearrowright} \text{---} \overset{m}{\curvearrowleft} \text{---} \overset{j}{\curvearrowright} \text{---} \overset{i}{\curvearrowleft} \text{---} = 2 \text{---} \circlearrowleft \text{---} \circlearrowright \text{---} .$$

The remaining C_{ijk} can be unwound by the Lie algebra commutator:

We have already evaluated the quadratic casimir (2.6) in the first term. The second term we evaluate by substituting the adjoint projection operator

$$\begin{aligned} i \text{---} \overset{b}{\curvearrowright} \text{---} \overset{c}{\curvearrowleft} \text{---} \overset{d}{\curvearrowright} \text{---} \overset{a}{\curvearrowleft} \text{---} j &= \text{---} \overset{b}{\curvearrowright} \text{---} \overset{c}{\curvearrowleft} \text{---} \overset{d}{\curvearrowright} \text{---} \overset{a}{\curvearrowleft} \text{---} - \frac{1}{n} \text{---} \overset{b}{\curvearrowright} \text{---} \overset{c}{\curvearrowleft} \text{---} \overset{d}{\curvearrowright} \text{---} \overset{a}{\curvearrowleft} \text{---} = -\frac{1}{n} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\ \text{tr}(T_i T_k T_j T_k) &= (T_i)_a^b (P_1)_{d,b}^c (T_j)_c^d = (T_i)_a^b (T_j)_c^c - \frac{1}{n} (T_i)_a^b (T_j)_b^a . \end{aligned}$$

The $(T_i)_a^b (T_j)_c^c$ term vanishes by the tracelessness of T_i 's. This is a consequence of the orthonormality of the two projection operators \mathbf{P}_1 and \mathbf{P}_2 in (2.5) (see (3.50)):

$$0 = \mathbf{P}_1 \mathbf{P}_2 = \text{---} \overset{a}{\curvearrowright} \text{---} \overset{b}{\curvearrowleft} \text{---} \overset{c}{\curvearrowright} \text{---} \overset{d}{\curvearrowleft} \text{---} \Rightarrow \text{tr } T_i = \text{---} \circlearrowleft \text{---} = 0 .$$

Combining the above expressions we finally obtain

$$C_A = 2 \left(\frac{n^2 - 1}{n} + \frac{1}{n} \right) = 2n .$$

The problem (1.1) that started all this is evaluated the same way. First we relate the adjoint quartic casimir to the defining casimirs:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Diagram} &= \text{Diagram} - \text{Diagram} \\
 &= \text{Diagram} - \text{Diagram} - \dots = \text{Diagram} - \text{Diagram} - \dots \\
 &= \text{Diagram} - \text{Diagram} - \text{Diagram} + \text{Diagram} - \dots \\
 &= \frac{n^2-1}{n} \text{Diagram} - \text{Diagram} + \frac{2}{n} \text{Diagram} + \text{Diagram} + \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

and so

on. The result is

$$SU(n) : \text{Diagram} = n \left\{ \text{Diagram} + \text{Diagram} \right\} + 2 \left\{ \text{Diagram} \right\} \left(+ \text{Diagram} + \text{Diagram} \right) .$$

The diagram (1.1) is now reexpressed in terms of the defining rep casimirs:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Diagram} &= 2n^2 \left\{ \text{Diagram} + \text{Diagram} \right\} \\
 &\quad + 2n \left\{ \text{Diagram} + \dots \right\} + 4 \left\{ \text{Diagram} + \dots \right\} .
 \end{aligned}$$

The first two terms are evaluated by inserting the adjoint rep projection operators:

$$\begin{aligned}
 SU(n) : \text{Diagram} &= \text{Diagram} - \frac{1}{n} \text{Diagram} \\
 &= \left(\frac{n^2 - 1}{n} \right)^2 \text{Diagram} - \frac{1}{n} \text{Diagram} + \frac{1}{n^2} \text{Diagram} \\
 &= \left(n^2 - 2 + \frac{1}{n^2} - \frac{1}{n} \left(n - \frac{1}{n} \right) + \frac{1}{n^2} \right) \text{Diagram} \\
 &= \left(n^2 - 3 + \frac{3}{n^2} \right) \text{Diagram} ,
 \end{aligned}$$

and the remaining terms have already been evaluated. Collecting everything together, we finally obtain

$$SU(n) : \text{Diagram} = 2n^2(n^2 + 12) \text{Diagram} .$$