

# group theory - week 12

## Mehr Gruppenpest

**Georgia Tech PHYS-7143**

**Homework HW12**

due Tuesday, April 12, 2016

---

== show all your work for maximum credit,  
== put labels, title, legends on any graphs  
== acknowledge study group member, if collective effort  
== if you are LaTeXing, here is the [source code](#)

---

Exercise [12.1](#) *Splitting of degeneracies in a central potential*

5 points

Exercise [12.2](#) *Quadrupole transitions*

5 points

Total of 10 points = 100 % score.

**2016-04-05 Boris Lecture 22 Clebsch-Gordon coefficients. Wigner-Eckart theorem**

Gutkin notes, **Lect. 9** *SU(2), SO(3) and their representations*, Sects. 5-8

**2016-04-07 Boris Lecture 23 Immer Mehr Gruppenpest**

Gutkin notes, **Lect. 9** *SU(2), SO(3) and their representations: Zeeman effect.*

Gutkin notes, **Lect. 10** *Representations of simple algebras, general construction. Application to SU(3)*, Sects. 1-4.

## 12.1 Some applications of group theory to quantum mechanics

K. Y. Short

As a typical example, consider the correspondence between  $SU(2)$  and  $SO(3)$  with applications to quantum mechanics.

Angular momentum  $L = r \times p$  has three components, the operators that generate  $SU(2)$  and satisfy  $[L_1, L_2] = iL_3$ . If we define  $e = L_1 + iL_2$ ,  $f = L_1 - iL_2$ , and  $h = 2L_3$ , then we have the following algebra:

$$[h, e] = 2e, \quad [h, f] = -2f, \quad [e, f] = h \tag{12.1}$$

where

$$e = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{12.2}$$

Clearly  $e$  and  $f$  act as the raising and lowering (also called ‘ladder’) operators  $L_{\pm}$  in this representation.

We observe that there are  $n^2 - 1 = 3$  such operators satisfying this algebra, which is the Lie algebra of  $SU(n)$ , where  $n = 2$ . The eigenvalues of  $h$  are integers separated by 2, and the eigenvalues of  $L_3$  must be half-integers separated by 1. Consequently, the representation with highest  $L_3$  eigenvalue given by  $l$  must have dimension  $2l + 1$  (note:  $2l$  is  $\lambda_{max}$  for  $h$ ).

Further,  $L^2 = L \cdot L$  commutes with  $L_1$ ,  $L_2$ , and  $L_3$  and hence, by Schur’s Lemma,  $L^2 = \lambda \mathbb{I}$  in this representation, so every vector is an eigenvector of  $L^2$ . For example, we’ve seen in quantum mechanics,

$$L^2 Y_l^m = l(l+1)\hbar^2 Y_l^m \tag{12.3}$$

And since the spherical harmonics  $Y_l^m(\theta, \phi)$  constitute an orthonormal basis of the Hilbert space of square-integrable functions, any vector can be expanded in a basis of  $Y_l^m(\theta, \phi)$ .  $L_{\pm}$  act on  $Y_l^m$  in the following way:

$$L_{\pm} Y_l^m = \hbar \sqrt{l(l+1) - m(m \pm 1)} Y_l^{m \pm 1} \tag{12.4}$$

And so we see that the  $2 \times 2$  matrices of  $SU(2)$  have a relation to the spherical harmonics.

An element of  $SU(2)$  can be written as

$$e^{i\sigma_j\alpha_j/2} \quad (12.5)$$

where  $\sigma_j$  is a Pauli matrix and  $\alpha_j$  is a number. (The exponentiation of the Pauli matrices gives  $SU(2)$ .) We will now work to understand the importance of the  $1/2$  factor in the argument of the exponential. First, consider a generic position vector  $\mathbf{r} = x\hat{e}_i + y\hat{e}_j + z\hat{e}_k$ . We may construct a matrix of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma \cdot \mathbf{r} &= \sigma_x x + \sigma_y y + \sigma_z z \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ x & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -iy \\ iy & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & -z \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} z & x - iy \\ x + iy & -z \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (12.6)$$

Taking the determinant,

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} z & x - iy \\ x + iy & -z \end{pmatrix} = -(x^2 + y^2 + z^2) = -\mathbf{x}^2 \quad (12.7)$$

which gives an expression for the length of a vector.

Now consider a unitary transformation of this matrix. For example,

$$\begin{aligned} U(\sigma \cdot \mathbf{r})U^\dagger &= \sigma_x(\sigma \cdot \mathbf{r})\sigma_x \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z & x - iy \\ x - iy & z \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} -z & x + iy \\ x - iy & z \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (12.8)$$

Taking this determinant, we find the same expression as before:

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} -z & x + iy \\ x - iy & z \end{pmatrix} = -(x^2 + y^2 + z^2) = -\mathbf{x}^2 \quad (12.9)$$

We observe that, like  $SO(3)$ ,  $SU(2)$  preserves the lengths of vectors.

The correspondence between  $SO(3)$  and  $SU(2)$  can be made more explicit. To see this, consider an  $SU(2)$  transformation on a two-component object called a *spinor*  $\psi$  where

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} \quad (12.10)$$

With

$$x = \frac{1}{2}(\beta^2 - \alpha^2), \quad y = -\frac{i}{2}(\alpha^2 + \beta^2), \quad z = \alpha\beta \quad (12.11)$$

One may check that an  $SU(2)$  transformation on  $\psi$  is equivalent to an  $SO(3)$  transformation on  $\mathbf{x}$ . From this equivalence, one sees that an  $SU(2)$  transformation has three

real parameters that correspond to the three rotation angles of  $SO(3)$ . If we label the “angles” for the  $SU(2)$  transformation by  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\gamma$ , we observe, for a “rotation” about  $\hat{x}$

$$U_x(\alpha) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha/2 & i \sin \alpha/2 \\ i \sin \alpha/2 & \cos \alpha/2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (12.12)$$

Likewise for an  $SU(2)$  transformation about  $\hat{y}$ :

$$U_y(\beta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \beta/2 & \sin \beta/2 \\ -\sin \beta/2 & \cos \beta/2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (12.13)$$

And for the final rotation, the  $SU(2)$  transformation about  $\hat{z}$ :

$$U_z(\gamma) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\gamma/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\gamma/2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (12.14)$$

Compare these three matrices to the corresponding  $SO(3)$  rotation matrices:

$$R_x(\zeta) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \zeta & \sin \zeta \\ 0 & -\sin \zeta & \cos \zeta \end{pmatrix}, \quad R_y(\phi) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \phi & 0 & \sin \phi \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin \phi & 0 & \cos \phi \end{pmatrix}$$

$$R_z(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta & 0 \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (12.15)$$

They’re equivalent! Result: **Half the rotation angle generated by  $SU(2)$  corresponds to a rotation generated by  $SO(3)$ .**

Neat fact: Gauge bosons corresponding to the  $SU(2)$  symmetry are the  $W$  and  $Z$  bosons that carry the weak interaction.

In this context, the eigenvalue equation for  $L_3$  and for  $L^2$  are differential equations whose solutions are the spherical harmonics  $Y_l^m$  which take the form

$$e^{im\phi} P_l^m(\cos \theta), \quad -l \leq m \leq l \quad (12.16)$$

in spherical coordinates and which determine the shape of electron orbitals and their probabilities to be found in a given region.

In quantum mechanics, the possible results of a measurement are determined by the possible eigenvalues of an operator. As such, the possible measurable values of the  $z$ -component of angular momentum correspond to the allowed values of  $L_3$ . The measurement outcomes are not arbitrary; the largest one,  $l$ , must be a half-integer, and there are  $2l + 1$  eigenvectors. Applying the lowering operator  $L_-$  one-by-one, we can find the possible outcomes to be  $m \in \{l, l - 1, \dots, -l\}$ . The angular dependence of the corresponding wave function goes as  $\sim e^{im\phi} P_l^m(\cos \theta)$ . In addition, higher values of  $l$  correspond to higher energy, so the different values of  $l$  correspond to different electron orbitals in order of increasing energy.

note: The set  $\{e, f, h\}$  forms an ‘ $sl_2$ -triple’.

## Exercises

12.1. **Splitting of degeneracies in a central potential.** Hamiltonian  $H_0$  has rotational symmetry of  $SO(3)$ .

(a) What are the possible energy level degeneracies of  $H_0$ ?

A weak perturbation  $V$  with a symmetry  $T_d$  of full tetrahedron group is added (e.g.,  $V$  is a potential created by lattice of atoms with a symmetry of  $T_d$ ).

(b) What will be the degeneracies of new Hamiltonian  $H_0 + V$ ?

(c) Assuming that the total angular momentum of the system before the perturbation is  $l = 2$ . How the degeneracies of the corresponding energy level will be split after the perturbation is applied?

(B. Gutkin)

12.2. **Quadrupole transitions.**

a) Write  $Q_1 = xy$ ,  $Q_2 = zy$ ,  $Q_3 = x^2 - y^2$  and  $Q_4 = 2z^2 - x^2 - y^2$  as components of spherical tensor of rank 2. *Hint:* use spherical harmonics  $Y_l^m(\theta, \varphi)$ .

b) The last quantity  $Q_4$  is known as quadrupole moment. What are the selection rules for transitions induced by  $Q_4$  in a system with  $SO(3)$  symmetry? In other words, for which  $m, l$  and  $k, j$  the transition rates:

$$P_{m,l \rightarrow k,j} \sim |\langle m l | Q_4 | j k \rangle|^2$$

are non-zero?

c) By using Wigner-Eckart theorem write down the relationship between  $|\langle m l | Q_4 | j k \rangle|^2$  and  $|\langle m l | Q_1 | j k \rangle|^2$  in terms of Clebsch-Gordan coefficients.

(B. Gutkin)